

1 Lab. Study: Preliminaries

Numbers are represented in binaries, thus creating errors.

Numerical procedures also introduce errors.

Numerical analysis is the study of the behaviour of errors in computation.

1. Write the following code and study the response.

```
% Determines effective machine precision for MATLAB
a = 1.0 ;
while ( (1. + a) ~= 1)
    a = a/2. ;
end
delta = 2.0*a ;
sprintf(' Machine Precision of MATLAB is %9.2e', delta )
```

2. Write the following code and study the response (chop.m).

```
% uses the MATLAB chop.m function to find simulated machine
% precision for a NDIGITS decimal ( base 10 ) machine.
data = [] ;
for NDIGITS = 2: 20 ;
    a = 1.0 ;
    while ( chop( (1.+a), NDIGITS ) ~= chop( (1.+a/2.), NDIGITS) )
        a = chop( a/2. , NDIGITS) ;
    end
    theoret = 0.5*10^(1-NDIGITS) ;
    data = [ data ; NDIGITS (1.5)*a theoret ] ;
end
% Note the use of (semi)logarithmic plots is usually preferable
% for displaying error behavior.
semilogy( data(:,1) , data(:,2) , '*', ...
           data(:,1) , data(:,3) ) ;
xlabel('NDIGITS');
ylabel('Machine Precision')
legend('Observed','Theoretical');
title('Dependence of Machine Precision on Machine "Size"');
```

3. Write a code that adds 0.0001 one thousand times. The result should equal 1.0 exactly but this is not true for single precision.

```
format short e
k=0.0;
j=0.0001;
k=double(k);
j=double(j);
for l=1:10000
    k=k+j;
end
k
k=0.0;
j=0.0001;
%format long e
a=single(k);
b=single(j);
%NDIGITS = 8 ;
%a= chop( k , NDIGITS );
%b= chop( j , NDIGITS );
for l=1:10000
    a=a+b;
end
a
%
```

Example output;

```
k =
    1.0000e+00
a =
    1.0001e+00
```

4. Write the following code and study the response.

```

% Determines the accuracy of a computed expression which is potentially
% subject to cancellation errors, using the MATLAB chop.m function.
clear ;
data = [] ;
NDIGITS = 8 ;
mu_NDIGITS = 0.5*10^(1-NDIGITS) ;
mu_calc = 50*mu_NDIGITS ;
for n = 1: 30 ;
    x = 2^n ;
    xsing = chop( x , NDIGITS ) ;
    xml_sing = chop( xsing - 1 , NDIGITS ) ;
    xsq_sing = chop( xsing*xsing , NDIGITS) ;
    xsqp4_sing = chop( xsq_sing + 4 , NDIGITS ) ;
    sroot_sing = chop( sqrt( xsqp4_sing ) , NDIGITS ) ;
    fval_sing = chop( sroot_sing - xml_sing , NDIGITS ) ;
    f_double = sqrt( x^2 + 4 ) - ( x - 1 ) ;
    rel_err = abs( f_double - fval_sing )/abs(f_double + eps ) + eps ;
    data = [ data ; x rel_err f_double fval_sing] ;
end
xmin = min(data(:,1)) ; xmax = max(data(:,1)) ;
loglog( data(:,1) , data(:,2) , '-.' , ...
        [ xmin xmax ] , [ mu_calc mu_calc ] , ':' ) ;
axis( [ xmin 10*xmax 10^(-10) 10^3 ] ) ;
xlabel( 'x' ) ; ylabel( 'Relative Difference' ) ;
legend('Observed','Acceptable');
title('Variation of the Accuracy of a Computed Function with x');
figure(2);
semilogx( data(:,1), data(:,3), data(:,1), data(:,4),'-');
xlabel('x') ; ylabel('Computed Value of f(x)')
axis([min(data(:,1)), 10*max(data(:,1)),-.25, 2.25])
legend('Double Precision','Single Precision');
title('Effect of Machine Precision on the Accuracy of a Computed Function')

```

5. Taylor Series Approximations to $1/(1-x)$ (**Example m-file:** demoTaylor.m)
Consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$$

Make the Taylor series expansion of this function up to third order.

```
>>demoTaylor(1.6,0.8)
```

All of the Taylor polynomials agree with $f(x)$ near $x_0 = 1.6$. The higher order polynomials agree over a larger range of x .

Home Study:

1. Suppose that \hat{p} is an approximation to p . The (absolute) error is $E_p = |p - \hat{p}|$, and the relative error is $R_p = \frac{E_p}{|p|}$, provided that $p \neq 0$.

- Let $x = 3.141592$ (approx. π ?) and $\hat{x} = 3.14$; then the error is

$$E_x = |\hat{x} - x| = |3.14 - 3.141592| = 0.001592$$

and the relative error is

$$R_x = \frac{|\hat{x} - x|}{|x|} = \frac{0.001592}{3.141592} = 0.000507$$

- Let $y = 1,000,000$ and $\hat{y} = 999,996$; then the error is (large?)

$$E_y = |\hat{y} - y| = |999996 - 1000000| = 4$$

and the relative error is (small?)

$$R_y = \frac{|\hat{y} - y|}{|y|} = \frac{4}{1000000} = 0.000004$$

- Let $z = 0.000012$ and $\hat{z} = 0.000009$; then the error is (small?)

$$E_z = |\hat{z} - z| = |0.000009 - 0.000012| = 0.000003$$

and the relative error is (large?)

$$R_z = \frac{E_z}{|z|} = \frac{0.000003}{0.000012} = 0.25 = 25\%$$

The relative error R_p is a better indicator of accuracy and is preferred for floating-point representations since it deals directly with the mantissa.

2. Given that

$$p = \int_0^{1/2} e^{x^2} dx = 0.544987104184$$

It is approximated by using Taylor series as

$$\hat{p} = \int_0^{1/2} P_8(x) dx = \left[x + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5(2)!} + \frac{x^7}{7(3)!} + \frac{x^9}{9(4)!} \right]_0^{1/2} = 0.544986720817$$

3. Calculate $f(500)$ and $g(500)$ using 6 digits and rounding, with

$$f(x) = x(\sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}), \quad g(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x+1} + \sqrt{x}}$$

Solution:

We have

$$f(500) = 500(\sqrt{501} - \sqrt{500}) = 500(22.3830 - 22.3607) = 500(0.0223) = 11.1500$$

$$g(500) = \frac{500}{\sqrt{501} + \sqrt{500}} = \frac{500}{22.3830 + 22.3607} = \frac{500}{44.7437} = 11.1748$$

Note that $g(x)$ is algebraically equivalent to $f(x)$, but $g(500) = 11.1748$ is more accurate than $f(500)$ to the true answer $11.174755300747198\dots$ to six digits.

4. Let $P(x) = x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x - 1$, $Q(x) = ((x-3)x+3)x-1$. Use 3-digit rounding arithmetic to compute $P(2.19) = Q(2.19) = 1.685159$:

Solution:

$$P(2.19) \approx 2.19^3 - 3(2.19)^2 + 3(2.19) - 1 = 10.5 - 14.4 + 6.57 - 1 = 1.67$$

$$Q(2.19) \approx ((2.19 - 3)2.19 + 3)2.19 - 1 = 1.69$$

The errors are 0.015159 and -0.004841, respectively. Thus the approximation $Q(2.19) \approx 1.69$ has less error.

5. Consider the Taylor polynomial expansions

$$e^h = 1 + h + \frac{h^2}{2!} + \frac{h^3}{3!} + O(h^4)$$

$$\cosh = 1 - \frac{h^2}{2!} + \frac{h^4}{4!} + O(h^6)$$

What is the order of error term for summation, subtraction and multiplication?

Solution:

With $O(h^4) + O(h^6) = O(h^4) = O(h^4) + \frac{h^4}{4!}$, we have the sum

$$e^h + \cosh = 2 + h + \frac{h^3}{3!} + \frac{h^4}{4!} + O(h^4) + O(h^6) = 2 + h + \frac{h^3}{3!} + O(h^4)$$

The difference behaves similarly.

The product

$$e^h * \cosh = \left(1 + h + \frac{h^2}{2!} + \frac{h^3}{3!} + O(h^4)\right) * \left(1 - \frac{h^2}{2!} + \frac{h^4}{4!} + O(h^6)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(1 + h + \frac{h^2}{2!} + \frac{h^3}{3!}\right) * \left(1 - \frac{h^2}{2!} + \frac{h^4}{4!}\right) + \left(1 + h + \frac{h^2}{2!} + \frac{h^3}{3!}\right) * O(h^6) + \\
&\quad \left(1 - \frac{h^2}{2!} + \frac{h^4}{4!}\right) * O(h^4) + O(h^4) * O(h^6) \\
&= 1 + h - \frac{h^3}{3} - \frac{5h^4}{24} - \frac{h^5}{24} + \frac{h^6}{48} + \frac{h^7}{144} + O(h^6) + O(h^4) + O(h^4)O(h^6) \\
&\quad = 1 + h - \frac{h^3}{3} + O(h^4)
\end{aligned}$$

and the order of approximation is $O(h^4)$.